

## 3:10CV204-1-MU

Defendant.

The Court notes that Plaintiff concludes the text of his Complaint with a conclusory assertion that numerous state laws have been violated as well. As the Fourth Circuit recently held, “[N]aked assertions’ of wrongdoing necessitate some ‘factual enhancement’ within the complaint to cross ‘the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief.” Francis v. Giacomelli, 588 F.3d 186, 193 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009); see Ashcroft v. Iqbal, ---U.S. ---, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1953 (2009)

(outlining pleading requirements under Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for “all civil actions” and stating that a plaintiff in a civil action must do more than make mere conclusory statements to state a claim). A recitation of various state causes of action without any analysis is wholly insufficient to state pendant state law claims and they are dismissed.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**

1. Plaintiff’s pendant state law claims are **DISMISSED** for failing to state a claim; and
2. The Clerk shall issue summons and deliver it forthwith to the U. S. Marshal who will make service of process without additional cost.

Signed: June 8, 2010

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Graham C. Mullen", written over a horizontal line.

Graham C. Mullen  
United States District Judge

